

THREATS TO AMPHIBIANS IN TROPICAL REGIONS

Jean-Marc Hero and **Kerry Kriger**

Centre for Innovative Conservation Strategies, Griffith University, PMB 50 Gold Coast Mail Centre, Queensland 9726, Australia

Keywords: amphibian, species, populations, frog.

Contents

1. Introduction
 2. Causes of Amphibian Declines
 3. Solutions to Global Declines
- Glossary
Bibliography
Biographical Sketches

Summary

Tropical amphibian populations have undergone drastic population declines and extinctions in recent decades. Threats to tropical amphibians include habitat loss, invasive species, pollution, infectious diseases, and climate change. Successful conservation of remaining amphibian populations is hindered by a lack of funding, a shortage of political and social will, and an incomplete understanding of the specific threats faced by individual amphibian populations. Unless these problems are remedied, we can expect that unacceptable numbers of amphibian species will become extinct in coming decades, resulting in irreversible consequences to the planet's ecosystems.

1. Introduction

The rapid expansion of the human population in recent centuries has had deleterious impacts on the earth's environment, and unsustainable practices have resulted in well-documented population declines and extinctions across a broad range of species (Pimm et al. 2001, Brooks et al. 2002, Ceballos and Ehrlich 2002, Stuart et al. 2004). Causes of species decline in both the terrestrial and marine environments are increasingly linked to human activities, and both the number and magnitude of threats has increased dramatically in modern times. Current threats to biodiversity include habitat loss (Vitousek et al. 1997), invasive species (Burgman and Lindenmayer 1998, Vredenburg 2004), pollution (Rowe et al. 2001, Davidson 2004), infectious diseases (Van Riper et al. 1986, Daszak et al. 2000, Hawkins et al. 2006), and climate change (Pounds 2001, Thomas et al. 2004). Unless rapid and effective actions are immediately implemented to halt the current wave of extinctions, it is likely that we will lose a significant proportion of earth's biodiversity by the end of the century. Resolving this environmental crisis requires a combination of ecological, economic and socio-political solutions (Peres 2001).

Amphibians are among the planet's most threatened taxa. Nearly one-third of the world's 6,187 species are threatened with extinction (Stuart et al. 2004). Population

declines in recent decades have been especially severe, with up to five species going extinct each year (Stuart et al. 2004). Rapid amphibian population declines have occurred in Europe (Bosch et al. 2001, Bosch and Martinez-Solano 2006), Africa (Weldon and du Preez 2004), Australia (Richards et al. 1993, Hines et al. 1999, Hero and Morrison 2004), New Zealand (Bell et al. 2004), North America (Carey 1993, Davidson et al. 2001, Green and Kagarise Sherman 2001), South America (Bonaccorso et al. 2003, Ron et al. 2003), and Central America (Pounds et al. 1997, Lips 1998, 1999, Lips et al. 2006). Unidentified processes threaten nearly half of rapidly declining amphibian species (Stuart et al. 2004), and many declines and extinctions have occurred in protected wilderness areas such as national parks and preserves, where no obvious cause can be identified (Bradford 1991, Kagarise Sherman and Morton 1993, Pounds and Crump 1994, Lips 1998, 1999, Hero and Morrison 2004).

Declines and extinctions of amphibians have been more severe in the tropics than in other regions (Stuart et al. 2004). In Australia, 32 frog species are currently listed as threatened due to population declines (Hero and Morrison 2004), and at least eight extinctions have occurred in the last three decades (Richards et al. 1993, Hero et al. 2006). At least thirty species from the neotropical toad genus *Atelopus* have not been seen in the last ten years, and are feared extinct (La Marca et al. 2005). While there have been relatively few reports of amphibian declines or extinctions in Africa or Asia, few long-term monitoring studies have occurred in these regions. Thus the threat status and population trends of a large proportion of amphibian species on these continents remain unknown (Stuart et al. 2004), and we should be hesitant to infer that amphibian populations on these continents are stable. As amphibian biodiversity is greatest in the tropics (Duellman 1999), these regions stand to lose the most numbers of species if the current threats to amphibians are not mitigated.

There are several reasons why the conservation of amphibians is important. Amphibians are an integral part of the food web. Tadpoles keep waterways clean by feeding on algae (Ranvestel et al. 2004), and adults consume large quantities of invertebrates, including disease vectors such as mosquitoes (Greenlees et al. 2006). Amphibians also serve as prey to a variety of birds, snakes, fish and other animals (Hecnar and McCloskey 1997b). Thus their disappearance could have potential negative impacts that would cascade through the ecosystem (Ranvestel et al. 2004, Whiles et al. 2006). Amphibians have permeable skin and often require suitable habitat in both the terrestrial and aquatic environment. As such, they are especially susceptible to many environmental disturbances. They are thus considered accurate indicators of environmental stress, and their health as a taxon is thought to be indicative of the health of the biosphere as a whole (Lips 1999, Cohen 2001, Wright et al. 2001, Hayes et al. 2002, Blaustein et al. 2003, Collins and Storfer 2003). Finally, important advances in human medicine have resulted from the use of amphibians in medical research (Traynor 1998, VanCompernelle et al. 2005). For example, skin secretions of three Australian frogs (*Litoria caerulea*, *L. chloris*, and *L. genimaculata*) completely inhibit HIV (VanCompernelle et al. 2005).

Herein we examine the threats to tropical amphibians, and suggest actions that must be taken to prevent future declines and extinctions, and possibly enable certain populations to recover to their pre-decline levels.

1.1. Assessing Population Decline.

Population decline can be defined as either a reduction in a species' geographic range (e.g. due to habitat loss) or a reduction in population abundance (e.g. due to over-harvesting). Ultimately, population declines will lead to species extinction. A significant obstacle for evaluating declines is the lack of historical systematic, quantified surveys (number of individuals observed, distance surveyed, time surveyed, weather conditions etc.). Documenting population declines must consider the natural variation in population parameters (Yoccoz et al. 2001), as population sizes in certain species can vary by several orders of magnitude in different years (Pechmann et al. 1991), and metapopulations can go extinct and be re-colonized (Marsh and Trenham 2001). The majority of existing records are based on museum specimens and short-term follow up surveys, the results of which may or may not accurately reflect the population status of each species at that time. This highlights the importance of establishing and continuing extensive surveys and monitoring to ensure accurate assessment of species status.

When assessing amphibian populations it is necessary to consider the distinction between "population size" (the number of individuals within a population) and "number of populations" (Green 1997). The stochastic nature of amphibian populations makes interpreting changes in the former difficult without long-term data (Houlahan et al. 2000, Green 2003). In contrast, quantifying the latter (by surveying for the presence/absence of amphibian populations on a broad scale, rather than enumerating individuals within populations) facilitates the rapid assessment of species' population status (Richards et al. 1993, Ron et al. 2003).

1.2. Which amphibians are declining? (Ecological characteristics of declining frogs)

Even with the difficulties in assessing population declines, one pattern is clear: within a region, declining species co-exist with non-declining species, and within a species, some populations may decline while others do not. There are clearly factors that predispose certain species and populations toward decline and extinction. Indeed, similarities in the geographical and life-history traits of declining amphibian populations have been noted by multiple researchers. Eighty-five percent of the world's threatened frog species occur at high altitudes (Hero and Morrison 2004). Rapid population declines of montane amphibians have occurred worldwide (Bosch et al. 2001, Davidson et al. 2001, Hero and Morrison 2004, Weldon and du Preez 2004). In Australia, 41% of montane species are threatened, versus only 8% of lowland species. There are at least 4 species whose upland populations have declined precipitously, while lowland populations have remained stable (*Litoria nannotis*, *L. rheocola*, *Nyctimystes dayi*, and *Taudactylus eungellensis*; Hero and Morrison 2004, Hero et al. 2006), suggesting that the causative agent of the rapid declines may be restricted to high altitudes. Most of the rapid amphibian declines in recent decades have taken place in relatively pristine, protected areas (such as national parks and preserves), where no obvious cause can be identified. Simply conferring protected area status on a locality is therefore unlikely to be sufficient for amphibian conservation in the 21st century.

Williams & Hero (1998) found that low fecundity (small clutch size), high habitat

specificity (a restriction to specific vegetation associations that are geographically restricted in area), and an association with flowing streams were significant predictors of declining population status in frogs from Australia's Wet Tropics. Hero et al. (2005) examined over 60 frog species from upland areas of eastern Australia and also found that small clutch sizes and stream-dwelling behavior were primary characteristics of declining species. Furthermore, phylogenetic history was a significant predictor of declining status (certain genera had a relatively high proportion of species declining). Lips et al (2003b) examined Central American amphibians and found that the degree of association with aquatic habitat was a significant predictor of declining population status. Similarly, Stuart et al. (2004) found that nearly two-thirds of the threatened amphibian species that prefer flowing water are rapidly declining. Finally, amphibian species with narrow geographic ranges are more prone to extinction than are species with broad distributions (Williams and Hero 1998, Hero et al. 2005, Murray and Hose 2005). It should be noted though that being geographically-restricted is likely to be the long-term result of the ecological factors that led to susceptibility in the short term.

Why are species that exhibit these characteristics more susceptible to extinction than are sympatric species? How are these characteristics linked to the causes of decline? While ongoing research attempts to answer these questions, amphibian conservation efforts should focus on protecting populations that exhibit the above-mentioned characteristics (e.g. stream-dwelling frog species in the mountains of the Brazilian Atlantic forest).

2. Causes of Amphibian Declines

Amphibian declines can be clearly separated into (1) declines of predominantly lowland species, for which habitat loss is the principle culprit, and (2) unexplained declines of amphibians from relatively pristine natural habitats at high altitudes (Hero and Shoo 2003, Hero and Morrison 2004). Habitat loss is not considered to be an important factor involved in the rapid declines and extinctions that have been documented in relatively undisturbed areas in the last several decades. Determining the cause of the mysterious declines has been elusive. To date there appears to be a complexity of causes in different parts of the world. Causative agents implicated in the decline of high-altitude amphibian populations include introduced salmonid fish that predate on amphibians; pathogens (i.e. the chytrid fungus *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*); and global change, such as increased UV-B radiation and global warming. Increasingly complex explanations are possible as well. For instance, disease may not be the cause in isolation, but rather the result of increased stress levels in amphibians caused by increased UV radiation (Kiesecker et al. 2001) or changes to the local climate (Pounds et al. 1999, Pounds 2001).

2.1. Habitat Loss

Humans currently appropriate more than one third of the production of terrestrial ecosystems and about half of the usable fresh water on earth (Tilman et al. 2001), and the rapid growth of the human population shows no signs of slowing. It is not surprising then that habitat loss is one of the most significant threats to terrestrial biodiversity (Mittermeier et al. 1998, Pimm and Raven 2000, Brooks et al. 2002). Humans alter and destroy habitat by logging forests, draining swamps, paving grasslands, damming rivers,

introducing weeds and livestock, and a variety of other actions.

Deforestation is clearly the principal cause of habitat loss, and this is concentrated in the tropical regions where biodiversity is greatest (Brooks et al. 2002). Extensive deforestation is continuing in both developed and developing countries throughout the tropical regions of the world. It is extremely difficult to halt as the subsequent development of agriculture and infrastructure is seen as the first crucial step toward economic development, and the reduction of poverty and food insecurity (Alexandratos 1999). Extensive clearing in the tropics is concentrated in lowland areas (Brooks et al. 1999, Pringle 2001), with coastal areas being particularly vulnerable, due to high rates of urban development and intensive agriculture. In South America, deforestation and subsequently intensive cattle grazing and unsustainable agriculture have severely degraded the ecosystem integrity in the Atlantic forests of Brazil, the southern plains of Brazil and Argentina, and the coastal plains of Ecuador and Peru (Ceballos and Ehrlich 2002). Each year, nearly 6 million hectares of the world's tropical forests are logged (Whitmore 1997). The Amazon contains over half of the world's remaining tropical forest (Laurance 1998) but its size is rapidly diminishing: approximately 2 million hectares are cleared each year in the Brazilian Amazon alone (Laurance 2001).

Habitat loss, alteration and fragmentation are likely the primary causes of amphibian population declines and species extinctions worldwide (Dodd and Smith 2003). In Australia, habitat modification is associated with declines in 18 of the 40 threatened species, and is the primary cause of population declines in lowland frogs, negatively impacting 11 of the 12 threatened lowland species (Hero and Morrison 2004). Habitat alteration can directly remove amphibian breeding and feeding areas, or block access to them (Hazell et al. 2003). Deforestation alters amphibian species assemblages and reduces species diversity on the landscape scale (Corn and Bury 1989, Boyer and Grue 1995, Lowe and Bolger 2002). Livestock grazing can reduce wetland habitat quality and subsequently species diversity (Jansen and Healey 2003). Of particular concern is the stream flood mitigation process, which removes vegetation and the natural ponds associated with stream habitats (Hazell et al. 2003). Another major concern is the loss of ephemeral wetlands, which contain unique amphibian assemblages, yet often receive little legal protection (Semlitsch and Brodie 1998, Adams 1999, Gibbs 2000, Snodgrass et al. 2000). Land use change may also lead to an increased chance of direct predation by domestic animals (Crooks and Soule 1999), and may facilitate the emergence of infectious diseases (Daszak et al. 2000). While conservation of amphibians has focused on protecting breeding habitats (i.e. ponds & streams), the habitats used by all amphibian life history stages (egg, larval, juvenile & adult stages) must be protected (Taylor et al. 2006).

Although some amphibian species decline rapidly when the forest cover is removed (Ash 1988, Petranka et al. 1993, Parris 2001), most species suffer a gradual depletion of populations, and the overall impacts are not realized until the species has disappeared from a significant part of its former geographic range (Beebee 1977, Gillespie and Hollis 1996). This gradual depletion of suitable habitat through the accumulation of small-scale habitat loss (urban and rural expansion) has been described as "death by a thousand cuts". Whereby no single development (i.e. housing complex, shopping center) is responsible, the accumulation of many small developments eventually leads to

the complete loss of the original habitat for the species. Loss of local populations and subsequent reduction in the area of occupancy for each species also results in loss of genetic diversity and thus a reduction in the evolutionary potential for species to adapt to environmental changes such as global warming (Crandall et al. 2000, Smith et al. 2001, Hoffmann et al. 2003). Monitoring loss of habitat, and the subsequent reduction in the area of occupancy of threatened species, is essential for assessing the species' conservation status.

2.2. Over-Harvesting

Over-harvesting by humans has resulted in declines and extinctions across a broad range of mammal, bird, fish and shellfish species (Schorger 1955, Anderson 1995, Christensen et al. 2003, Edgar and Samson 2004), and currently threatens many amphibian species. Of particular concern are brightly colored species that are highly sought after by the pet trade (i.e. dendrobatids), and large, edible species. While it is difficult to quantify the number of amphibians harvested for the food industry each year, the number is likely significant. In many underdeveloped countries, the harvesting of amphibians is unregulated and is thus a likely contributor to amphibian declines. For instance, over six million Chinese Edible Frogs (*Hoplobatrachus rugulosus*) were imported to Hong Kong from Thailand in a single year, and the majority of these frogs were likely collected in the wild (Lau et al. 1999).

2.3. Introduced Species

Introduced species (both animals and plants) are a major threat to biodiversity in both terrestrial and marine ecosystems, and negatively affect a wide range of taxa. For instance, introduced rabbits and foxes have contributed to the decline and extinction of numerous Australian mammal species (Burgman and Lindenmayer 1998). Introduced species have also been implicated in the global declines of amphibians. Introduced fish, crayfish, and amphibians can harm native amphibians by competing for food resources, spreading disease, acting as toxic prey, and by preying on amphibians (Gillespie 2001, Kats and Ferrer 2003, Vredenburg 2004). Species may be introduced intentionally, such as in fish-stocking programs or the release of pets into the wild, or unintentionally, such as when a fisherman's live bait mistakenly escapes. Though the mechanisms by which invasive species cause declines are well understood, the problem is not easily remedied: it has often proven impossible to eradicate the invasive species once it has become established, as is the case with rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) and bullfrogs (*Rana catesbeiana*) in the western United States, or cane toads (*Bufo marinus*) in Australia.

Introductions of salmonid fish have been associated with amphibian declines in Australia (Gillespie and Hines 1999, Gillespie 2001) and Spain (Bosch et al. 2006), and are thought to be responsible for the extinction of several *Atelopus* species in Costa Rica (Pough et al. 1998). In North America, introduced trout have been suggested as an important factor contributing to the decline of ranid frog species (Hayes and Jennings 1986, Liss and Larson 1991, Bradford et al. 1993, Hecnar and McCloskey 1997b). Similarly, the introduction of various fish species (salmonids, European carp (*Cyprinus carpio*), *Odonthestes bonariensis* and catfish (*Ictalurus* spp.)), are thought to be a

principal factor leading to the decline of amphibians in southern Chile (Formas 1995).

The cane toad (*Bufo marinus*) was introduced into eastern Australia in 1935 and has since expanded its range to include a large portion of tropical and subtropical Australia (Zug and Zug 1979). Cane toads can reach large sizes (>150mm) and can achieve incredibly high densities. As such, they serve as a massive nutrient sink, significantly reducing invertebrate abundance and species richness (Greenlees et al. 2006) and thereby negatively affecting native amphibians by acting as major competitors for food resources.

Competition with the introduced bullfrog (*Rana catesbeiana*) has been proposed as contributing to the decline of *Rana muscosa* in the western USA (Hayes and Jennings 1986, Hecnar and McCloskey 1997a). Similar impacts can be expected following the establishment of wild populations of *Rana catesbeiana* that were recently introduced into China (Xu et al. 2006), Venezuela (Hanselmann et al. 2004), and Uruguay (Mazzoni et al. 2003).

The role of introduced species (e.g. *Rana catesbeiana*, *Bufo marinus* and *Xenopus laevis*) as potential vectors for transporting chytrid fungal disease has also been proposed (Daszak et al. 2004, Weldon et al. 2004). As these amphibian species can carry the disease without dying, and as they are being introduced to many regions around the world, they are a likely explanation for the rapid outbreak of this disease in naïve amphibian populations in various parts of the world.

2.4. Pollution

Pesticides (herbicides and insecticides) may be of critical importance to understanding amphibian declines (Boone and Bridges 2003). Pesticides are dispersed globally and have both lethal and sub-lethal effects on terrestrial fauna (Sala et al. 2000). Even low levels of pesticides can cause fatal immune suppression in frogs (Taylor et al. 1999). Many pesticides are approved by government authorities without their being tested on amphibians, and when testing is done, it generally focuses only on lethal effects. Potential sub-lethal effects may therefore easily go unnoticed by researchers.

Pesticides and other toxins that are used throughout the world have the ability to pollute geographically disparate regions via windborne transport (Datta et al. 1998). Recent studies by Davidson (2004) and Davidson and Knapp (2007) demonstrated an association between amphibian declines and amount of upwind pesticide use, strongly suggesting a link between agrochemicals and population declines. Hayes et al. (2002, 2006) showed that ecologically relevant doses of atrazine could render male *Xenopus laevis* hermaphroditic, and feminize the larynges of exposed males. Being that atrazine is one of the most commonly used herbicides in the world, (33 million kilos per year in USA alone; Hayes et al. 2002), these negative effects are clearly a cause for concern. It should be noted that many factors must be considered when implicating pesticides with amphibian declines, and that laboratory tests and experiments must be replicated in semi-natural and natural water bodies in the field to confirm cause-and-effect links (Boone and Bridges 2003).

2.5. Global Change

Global change refers to human-induced alteration of the earth's atmosphere and climate. The changes most likely to affect amphibian populations are global warming (Williams et al. 2003), changes in precipitation patterns (Pounds 2001) and increased ultraviolet-B (UV-B) exposure (Blaustein et al. 1994). Effects of these changes are inherently complex, involving many subtle, inter-related, poorly understood changes to the environment.

Increasing levels of UV-B radiation is a direct threat to life, and can have lethal and sublethal effects (Blaustein et al. 2003). The interactions of increased UV-B and low pH resulted in reduced survival rates (approximately 50%) and increased frequency of developmental abnormalities (approximately 30%) for amphibian embryos derived from populations of northern Sweden, but not populations from southern Sweden (Pahkala et al. 2002). Increase in UV-B radiation has been linked to declines of ranid frogs in western North America (Kiesecker et al. 2001), Central and South America (Middleton et al. 2001) and has been proposed as a contributing factor in the decline of *Litoria verreauxii alpina* in the Australian alpine region (Broomhall et al. 2000). It should be noted that the effects of UV-B are controversial, some studies demonstrating negative effects (Blaustein et al. 1994), while others do not (Licht 2003).

The direct impacts of global warming on amphibians are beginning to emerge. Reduced survivorship, geographical range shifts, and changes in the timing of breeding have been observed worldwide (Beebee 1995, Reading 2007, Seimon et al. 2007). Global warming is expected to result in the extinction of many mountain top species. As these species' current habitat becomes too warm, they will be unable to disperse into cooler habitats (Thomas et al. 2004). In the Wet Tropics of Australia of northern Australia these impacts are expected to threaten frogs and lizards more than any other vertebrate group (Williams and Hilbert 2006). For instance, six microhylid frog species are known to be restricted to a single isolated mountain range (Hoskin 2004), and are thus at high risk of decline due to climate change (Williams et al. 2003). In tropical Central and South America, amphibian declines have been particularly severe, and 67% of the 110 *Atelopus* species are presumed to have gone extinct in the last several decades. Pounds et al. (2006) analyzed weather patterns in the region and demonstrated a significant correlation between extremely warm years and the number of *Atelopus* extinctions in those years. As temperatures worldwide continue to rise, the effect of global warming on frogs will become even more apparent.

Changes in rainfall patterns have been implicated as the cause of declines in Brazil (Heyer et al. 1988, Weygoldt 1989, Bertoluci and Heyer 1995) and the decline of the golden toad (*Bufo periglenes*) in Costa Rica (Crump et al. 1992, Pounds et al. 1999). However, anomalies in weather patterns did not appear to coincide with the catastrophic declines observed in eastern Australia or Central and North America (Laurance 1996, Alexander and Eischeid 2001)..

2.6. Emerging Infectious Diseases

Emerging infectious diseases (EIDs) are those diseases that have recently increased in

either incidence, impact, pathogenicity, geographical or host range (Morse 1993, Daszak and Cunningham 2003). The importance of EIDs in regulating populations (Anderson 1991) and potentially causing amphibian declines (Laurance 1996, Berger et al. 1998) has only in recent years begun to be appreciated (Schloegel et al. 2006). Though our understanding of amphibian EIDs has increased dramatically in the last decade, we still have an incomplete understanding of both the number of diseases and their relative effects on amphibians (Di Rosa et al. 2007).

Host-parasite relationships have evolved to allow for stable relationships between the host and parasite (Anderson 1991) and thus are not expected to result in the dramatic population declines or extinctions that have occurred in the last few decades. However, anthropogenic activities are facilitating the ability for pathogens to infect naïve hosts with whom they have no evolutionary history. As such, new host species often have no evolved defenses against the pathogens, and host population declines may be inevitable. The largely unregulated pet and food trades are two likely sources of disease introduction into naïve amphibian populations. As millions of amphibians are shipped internationally each year, numerous opportunities exist for the successful introduction of pathogens to disparate parts of the world (Cunningham et al. 2003). For instance, chytridiomycosis has been detected in Mexican axolotls (*Ambystoma mexicanum*) in Australian pet shops (Aplin and Kirkpatrick 1999), and in American bullfrogs (*Rana catesbeiana*) being farmed for international trade in Uruguay (Mazzoni et al. 2003). Infected frogs are also exported internationally via the zoo trade (Pessier et al. 1999) and laboratory animal trade (Parker et al. 2002).

A wide range of fungal, bacterial, and viral diseases affect amphibians (Berger et al. 2001, Green and Kagarise Sherman 2001, Brunner et al. 2004). Furthermore, a trematode parasite (*Ribeiroia ondatrae*) has been blamed for many of the recent frog deformities (Johnson et al. 1999) reported in the USA in the last two decades. Limb deformities, however, have never been found to cause population declines (Carey 2000). Though many amphibian diseases are found throughout the world, the only one linked to population declines of multiple species is chytridiomycosis. First identified in 1998, this potentially lethal skin disease is caused by the chytrid fungus *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*, which infects the keratinized layers of the epidermis (Berger et al. 1998, Longcore et al. 1999). The chytrid fungus has been detected on at least 240 species of amphibians (K.M.K. unpublished data). Chytridiomycosis is the likely cause of many of the amphibian population declines that have occurred in Australia (Berger et al. 1998, Hero and Morrison 2004), South America (Bonaccorso et al. 2003, Ron et al. 2003), North America (Bradley et al. 2002, Muths et al. 2003, Rachowicz et al. 2006), Central America (Lips et al. 2003a, Lips et al. 2006), New Zealand (Waldman 2001), Europe (Bosch et al. 2001, Bosch and Martinez-Solano 2006), and Africa (Weldon and du Preez 2004). Mortality rates at chytrid-infected sites can be extreme: between 1993 and 1998, half of the approximately 40 amphibian species at Las Tablas, Costa Rica disappeared, concurrent with the first diagnosis of chytridiomycosis in the area (Lips et al. 2003a).

A large body of evidence points toward the chytrid fungus favoring cooler temperatures (Longcore et al. 1999, Piotrowski et al. 2004, Kriger and Hero 2007b). Additionally, *B. dendrobatidis* has waterborne zoospores (Longcore et al. 1999, Piotrowski et al. 2004) and cannot survive desiccation (Johnson et al. 2003). The thermal and hydric

requirements of the chytrid fungus are therefore the most important determinants of its geographical distribution, and also which amphibians are most likely to be affected by it. In the lowlands of eastern Australia, chytrid infections in stony creek frogs (*Litoria lesueuri* complex) are most severe at more southerly, cooler latitudes, and at sites that receive high rainfall (Kriger et al. 2007). Further, infection prevalence and severity exhibits strong seasonality, increasing in the cooler months of early spring and winter (Kriger and Hero 2007b). Temperatures change drastically across altitudes, and as expected based on its preference for cooler temperatures, *B. dendrobatidis* has its most serious effect on amphibians living in montane regions: virtually all of the amphibian declines for which *B. dendrobatidis* has been implicated as the causative agent have taken place at high altitudes (Berger et al. 1998, Lips 1999, Bosch et al. 2001, Muths et al. 2003, Rachowicz et al. 2006). On a smaller geographic scale, *B. dendrobatidis* is most likely to affect amphibians that breed in permanent flowing water (as opposed to ephemeral water bodies, ponds, or leaf-litter; Kriger and Hero 2007a).

While methods exist for curing laboratory animals infected with *B. dendrobatidis* (Parker et al. 2002, Woodhams et al. 2003), it is not currently possible to eradicate the fungus from wild amphibian populations. It is thus of vast importance that the spread of the fungus due to human activities be halted. This will require international cooperation, as countries will need to either implement stringent quarantine procedures, or severely restrict the importation of amphibians.

2.7. Synergistic Effects

Further complicating conservation actions with regards to amphibian declines is the possibility that multiple factors work in concert with each other to produce synergistic effects. For example, Kiesecker et al. (2001) found that western toads (*Bufo boreas*) were more susceptible to outbreaks of the fungus *Saprolegnia ferax* after exposure to increased UV-B radiation. Davidson et al. (2007) demonstrated that the pesticide carbaryl significantly reduces the skin peptide defenses of foothill yellow-legged frogs (*Rana boylei*), and suggest this could make them more susceptible to chytrid infections. Pounds (2001) hypothesizes that increased global temperatures can result in drier habitat, reducing the number of suitable breeding sites, which could cause overcrowding, thus increasing disease transmission. Pounds et al. (2006) hypothesize that global warming in tropical Latin America has produced optimal temperatures for *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*, and suggests that this has exacerbated the amphibian declines in the Andes.

Amphibian declines are associated with complex interactions among numerous contributing factors that are context-dependent (Blaustein and Kiesecker 2002). The synergistic influences of increased UV-B, global warming, acidification (low pH), disease and changing El Nino weather patterns may all have a combined impact upon amphibians (Pounds 2001). A schematic diagram of links between the ecological correlates of declining species and potential causes associated with the pathogenic chytrid fungus is shown in Figure 1. Though the threats discussed above are globally distributed, species-specific solutions must be considered in a local and regional context. To determine and mitigate the impacts on each amphibian species the relative importance of each threat must be properly assessed.




Figure 1. Schematic diagram of links between the ecological correlates of declining species and potential causes associated with outbreaks of the fungal pathogen *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*.

3. Solutions to Global Declines

The current wave of extinction and loss of biodiversity is a global problem (Pimm et al. 2001, Stuart et al. 2004). Conservation efforts are urgently required to stop the current wave of extinctions (Brooks et al. 2002). Conservation depends upon a network of protected areas and must be combined with integrated catchment management to provide for the protection of water quality and connectivity of habitat patches within and between watersheds (Brodie et al. 2001). Individual species conservation must focus on habitat protection and conserving populations' genetic diversity (Ceballos and Ehrlich 2002). Threats to amphibians, however, are such that the mere protection of habitat is not necessarily sufficient to protect a population. Airborne threats such as pesticides must be significantly reduced. Initiatives such as the 1997 Kyoto accord (Schiermeier 2001) must be taken seriously by all nations as a sound investment in halting the declines and extinctions of animals and plants around the world. All nations must work collectively to reduce greenhouse-gas emissions and human impacts on the global environment. The inadvertent introduction of invasive species and diseases to new areas must be prevented by implementing strict importation laws.

Distribution of research and financial resources needs to correspond to the distribution of biodiversity and threats. Training of in-country professionals to build local capacity

is vital to promote conservation (Pimm et al. 2001). This will require educational and financial support for local scientists (Sodhi and Liow 2000). Conservation relies on effective communication between scientists, local communities, managers and political decision-makers at all levels of government (Young and Gunningham 1997). Hero & Shoo (2003) suggest a bottom-up approach, where local and regional governments identify and protect locally significant species, combined with a top-down approach in which national government agencies identify and protect species threatened at the national scale. Once local scientists identify important biological resources within watersheds, local communities will be empowered to protect those resources (a bottom-up approach). Integration of science and the local community is an essential step, allowing conservation goals unobtainable by any one group on its own (Curtin 2002). Integrated catchment management and reserve protection must be coordinated at the regional (state) scale (Brodie et al. 2001) to identify threats to biodiversity hotspots, and gaps in scientific knowledge and expertise. Worldwide monitoring is currently conducted by international agencies (World Conservation Union, Conservation International etc.) and will assist in identifying weaknesses in the global conservation strategy. However, national legislation is an essential component of the overall conservation strategy, as conserving the amphibian biodiversity of each and every nation is critically important.

Many people ask “what does it matter if we lose a species?”. Only one species, *Homo sapiens*, has the capacity to eliminate other species on the planet. Unique among the animal world, we are accountable for our actions and future generations will hold us responsible. The question we should be asking ourselves is: how can we justify eliminating even a single species from this planet?

Glossary

- Chytridiomycosis:** A potentially lethal skin disease of frogs that is responsible for mass mortalities and population declines worldwide.
- Habitat loss:** Human-induced destruction of wildlife habitat that generally results in the displacement or death of wildlife formerly living in the affected areas.
- Over-harvesting:** Excessive collection of a species by humans, resulting in population decline or extinction.
- Introduced species:** Species that are introduced to a new geographic region by humans.
- Synergistic effects:** Effects whose magnitude is greater than that of the sum of the individual parts.

Bibliography

- Adams, M. J. (1999). Correlated factors in amphibian decline: exotic species and habitat change in western Washington. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 63:1162-1171. [This paper discusses synergistic effects of introduced species and habitat destruction]
- Alexander, M. A., and J. K. Eischeid. (2001). Climate variability in regions of amphibian declines. *Conservation Biology* 15:930-942. [This paper examines the effects of climate change on amphibians.]
- Alexandratos, N. (1999). World food and agriculture: Outlook for the medium and longer term. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences (USA)* 96:5908-5914. The relationship between

agriculture and habitat destruction

Anderson, R. M. (1991). Populations and infectious diseases: ecology or epidemiology? *Journal of Animal Ecology* 60:1-50. [This paper details the possible effects of disease on wildlife populations.]

Anderson, P. K. (1995). Competition, predation, and the evolution and extinction of Stellar's sea cow, *Hydrodamalis gigas*. *Marine Mammal Science* 11:391-394. [This paper examines the causes for extinction of a marine mammal.]

Aplin, K., and P. Kirkpatrick. (1999). Progress report on investigations into chytrid fungal outbreak in Western Australia. Western Australia Museum, Perth. [The authors sample nearly 2,000 amphibian specimens for chytrid infections.]

Ash, A. N. (1988). Disappearance of salamanders from clearcut plots. *Journal of the Elisha Mitchell Science Society* 104:116-122. [Population decline of salamanders]

Beebee, T. J. C. (1977). Environmental change as a cause of Natterjack Toad (*Bufo calamita*) declines in Britain. *Biological Conservation* 11:87-102. [Population decline in Natterjack Toads.]

Beebee, T. J. C. (1995). Amphibian breeding and climate change. *Nature* 374:219-220. [This study examines the effects of climate change on the timing of amphibian breeding.]

Bell, B. D., S. Carver, N. Mitchell, and S. Pledger. (2004). The recent decline of a New Zealand endemic: how and why did populations of Archey's frog *Leiopelma archeyi* crash over 1996-2001? *Biological Conservation* 120:189-199. [A detailed account of a population decline in an endemic New Zealand frog species.]

Berger, L., R. Speare, P. Daszak, D. E. Green, A. A. Cunningham, C. L. Goggin, R. Slocombe, M. A. Ragan, A. D. Hyatt, K. R. McDonald, H. B. Hines, K. R. Lips, G. Marantelli, and H. Parkes. (1998). Chytridiomycosis causes amphibian mortality associated with population declines in the rain forests of Australia and Central America. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science, USA* 95:9031-9036. [This is the first paper to identify chytridiomycosis as a cause of amphibian population declines.]

Berger, L., R. Speare, A. Thomas, and A. D. Hyatt. (2001). Mucocutaneous fungal disease in tadpoles of *Bufo marinus* in Australia. *Journal of Herpetology* 35:330-335. [A detailed account of a lesser known fungal pathogen.]

Bertoluci, J., and W. R. Heyer. (1995). Boracéia Update. FROGLOG 14. [A discussion of amphibian declines in Brazil]

Blaustein, A. R., P. D. Hoffman, D. G. Hokit, J. M. Kiesecker, S. C. Walls, and J. B. Hays. (1994). UV repair and resistance to solar UV-B in amphibian eggs: a link to population declines? *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science* 91:1791-1795. [This study examines the relationship between increased UV-B and amphibian declines.]

Blaustein, A. R., and J. M. Kiesecker. (2002). Complexity in conservation: lessons from the global decline of amphibian populations. *Ecology Letters* 5:597-608. [The authors state many reasons why it is unlikely amphibian declines are caused by a single factor.]

Blaustein, A. R., J. R. Romanic, J. M. Kiesecker, and A. C. Hatch. (2003). Ultraviolet radiation, toxic chemicals and amphibian population declines. *Diversity and Distributions* 9:123-140. [An examination of the effects of the relationship between UV-B, pollutants and amphibian declines.]

Bonaccorso, E., J. M. Guayasamin, D. Méndez, and R. Speare. (2003). Chytridiomycosis in a Venezuelan amphibian (Bufonidae: *Atelopus cruciger*). *Herpetological Review* 34:331-334. [A detailed account of chytridiomycosis in a Venezuelan amphibian species.]

Boone, M. D., and C. M. Bridges. (2003). Effects of pesticides on amphibian populations. Pages 152-167 in R. D. Semlitsch, editor. *Amphibian Conservation*. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, D.C. [This paper reviews what is known about the effects of pesticides on amphibian populations.]

Bosch, J., I. Martínez-Solano, and M. García-París. (2001). Evidence of a chytrid fungus infection involved in the decline of the common midwife toad (*Alytes obstetricans*) in protected areas of central Spain. *Biological Conservation* 97:331-337. [A description of a chytrid epidemic in central Spain.]

Bosch, J., and I. Martinez-Solano. (2006). Chytrid fungus infection related to unusual mortalities of

Salamandra salamandra and *Bufo bufo* in the Penalara Natural Park, Spain. *Oryx* 40:84-89. [A description of a chytrid epidemic in central Spain.]

Bosch, J., P. A. Rincon, L. Boyero, and I. Martinez-Solano. (2006). Effects of introduced salmonids on a montane population of Iberian frogs. *Conservation Biology* 20:180-189. [This paper details the negative effects of introduced fish on a Spanish amphibian species.]

Boyer, R., and C. H. Grue. (1995). The need for water quality criteria for frogs. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 103:352-357. [This paper discusses the effects of polluted water on frogs.]

Bradford, D. F. (1991). Mass mortality and extinction in a high-elevation population of *Rana muscosa*. *Journal of Herpetology* 25:174-177. [A detailed account of a frog die-off in Yosemite National Park.]

Bradford, D. F., F. Tabatabai, and D. M. Graber. (1993). Isolation of remaining populations of the native frog, *Rana muscosa*, by introduced fishes in Sequoia and Kings Canyon National Parks, California. *Conservation Biology* 7:882-888. [This paper details the negative effects of introduced fish on a California amphibian species.]

Bradley, G. A., P. C. Rosen, M. J. Sredl, T. R. Jones, and J. E. Longcore. (2002). Chytridiomycosis in native Arizona frogs. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* 38:206-212. [This paper describes chytrid-related amphibian decline in Arizona]

Brodie, J., C. M. Devlin, D. Haynes, S. Morris, M. Ramsay, J. Waterhouse, and H. Yorkston. (2001). Catchment management and the Great Barrier Reef. *Science and Technology* 43:203-211. [This paper discusses the management of water catchments]

Brooks, T. M., R. A. Mittermeier, C. G. Mittermeier, G. A. B. da Fonesca, A. B. Rylands, W. R. Konstant, P. Flick, J. Pilgram, S. Olfield, G. Magin, and C. Hilton-Taylor. (2002). Habitat loss and extinction in the hotspots of biodiversity. *Conservation Biology* 16. [This article summarizes the negative effects of habitat destruction on biodiversity worldwide.]

Broomhall, S. D., W. S. Osborne, and R. B. Cunningham. (2000). Comparative effects of ambient ultraviolet-B radiation in two sympatric species of Australian frogs. *Conservation Biology* 14:420-427. [An examination of the effects of increased UV-B on Australian amphibians.]

Brunner, J. L., D. M. Schock, E. W. Davidson, and J. P. Collins. (2004) Intraspecific reservoirs: complex life history and the persistence of a lethal ranavirus. *Ecology* 85:560-566. [A discussion on ranavirus infections in amphibians.]

Burgman, M. A., and D. B. Lindenmayer. (1998). *Conservation biology of the Australian environment*. Surrey Beatty & Sons, New South Wales. [This book provides a general discussion of Australian environmental issues.]

Carey, C. (1993). Hypothesis concerning the causes of the disappearance of Boreal Toads from the mountains of Colorado. *Conservation Biology* 7:355-362. [A discussion on possible reasons for the decline of Boreal Toads in Colorado.]

Carey, C. (2000). Infectious disease and worldwide declines of amphibian populations, with comments on emerging diseases in coral reef organisms and in humans. *Environment Health Perspective* 108:143-150. [A summary of what was known about the effects of infectious diseases on amphibian populations]

Ceballos, G., and P. R. Ehrlich. (2002). Mammal population losses and the extinction crisis. *Science* 296:904-907. [A discussion of the declining status of many mammal populations.]

Christensen, V., S. Guénette, J. J. Heymans, C. J. Walters, R. Watson, D. Zeller, and D. Pauly. (2003). Hundred year decline of North Atlantic predatory fishes. *Fish and Fisheries* 4:1-24. [A detailed account of decline in fish species]

Cohen, M. M., Jr. (2001). Frog decline, frog malformations, and a comparison of frog and human health. *American Journal of Medical Genetics* 104:101-109. [This review summarizes the relationship between human health and frog health.]

Collins, J. P., and A. Storfer. (2003). Global amphibian declines: sorting the hypotheses. *Diversity and Distributions* 9:89-98. [A detailed examination of various threats to amphibians.]

Corn, P. S., and R. B. Bury. (1989). Logging in western Oregon: Responses of headwater habitats and

stream amphibians. *Forest Ecology and Management* 29:39-57. [A case study of deforestation and its effect on Oregon's amphibian populations.]

Crandall, K. A., O. R. P. Bininda-Emonds, G. M. Mace, and R. K. Wayne. (2000). Considering evolutionary processes in *conservation biology*. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 15:290-295. [This paper discusses conservation genetics.]

Crooks, K. R., and M. E. Soule. (1999). Mesopredator release and avifaunal extinction in a fragmented system. *Nature* 400:563-566. [This study examines the negative effects of domesticated animals on native wildlife.]

Crump, M. L., F. R. Hensley, and K. L. Clark. (1992). Apparent decline of the golden toad: underground or extinct? *Copeia* 1992:413-420. [A discussion of the decline of the Golden Toad]

Cunningham, A. A., P. Daszak, and J. P. Rodriguez. (2003). Pathogen pollution: defining a parasitological threat to biodiversity conservation. *Journal of Parasitology* 89(Suppl):S78-S83. [This paper discusses the global transport of parasites by humans]

Curtin, C. G. (2002). Integration of science and community-based conservation in the Mexico/U.S. borderlands. *Conservation Biology* 16:880-886. [This paper provides insights into international conservation efforts.]

Daszak, P., and A. A. Cunningham. (2003). Anthropogenic change, biodiversity loss, and a new agenda for emerging diseases. *Journal of Parasitology* 89(Suppl):S37-S41. [This paper details how human activity promotes the emergence of infectious diseases]

Daszak, P., A. A. Cunningham, and A. D. Hyatt. (2000). Emerging infectious diseases of wildlife - threats to biodiversity and human health. *Science* 287:443-449. [A discussion of the negative impacts of diseases on wildlife]

Daszak, P., A. Strieby, A. A. Cunningham, J. E. Longcore, C. C. Brown, and D. Porter. (2004). Experimental evidence that the bullfrog (*Rana catesbeiana*) is a potential carrier of chytridiomycosis, an emerging fungal disease of amphibians. *Herpetological Journal* 14:201-207. [This is a case study of infections in a common invasive species, the American Bullfrog]

Datta, S., L. Hansen, L. McConnell, J. Baker, J. Lenoir, and J. N. Seiber. (1998). Pesticides and PCB contaminants in fish and tadpoles from the Kaweah River Basin, California. *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology* 60:829-836. [This paper describes how contaminants are easily transported large distances via wind.]

Davidson, C. (2004). Declining downwind: amphibian population declines in California and historical pesticide use. *Ecological Applications* 14:1892-1902. [This paper describes the effect of pesticides on California's amphibian populations]

Davidson, C., and R. A. Knapp. (2007). Multiple stressors and amphibian declines: dual impacts of pesticides and fish on Yellow Legged Frogs. *Ecological Applications* 17:587-597 [This paper describes the effect of pesticides and introduced fish on California's amphibian populations]

Davidson, C., H. B. Shaffer, and M. R. Jennings. (2001). Declines of the California red-legged frog: climate, UV-B, habitat, and pesticides hypotheses. *Ecological Applications* 11:464-479. [This paper examines possible causes for decline in California amphibian species]

Davidson, C., M. F. Benard, H. B. Shaffer, J. M. Parker, C. O'Leary, J. M. Conlon, and L. A. Rollins-Smith. (2007). Effects of chytrid and carbaryl on survival, growth and skin peptide defenses in foothill yellow-legged frogs. *Environmental Science & Technology* 41:1771-1776. [This is an analysis of the synergistic effects of disease and pesticides on amphibian survival]

Di Rosa, I., F. Simoncelli, A. Fagotti, and R. Pascolini. (2007). The proximate cause of frog declines? *Nature* 447:E3-4. [This paper questions the common assumption that the chytrid fungus is responsible for many of the world's amphibian declines]

Dodd, C. K., Jr. , and L. L. Smith. (2003). *Habitat destruction and alteration*. Pages 94-112 in R. D. Semlitsch, editor. *Amphibian conservation*. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, D.C. [A summary of the effects of habitat destruction on amphibians.]

Duellman, W. E. (1999). *Patterns of Distribution of Amphibians: A Global Perspective*. The Johns

Hopkins University Press, Baltimore. [A thorough description of patterns of amphibian diversity worldwide.]

Edgar, G. J., and C. R. Samson. (2004). Catastrophic decline in mollusc diversity in eastern Tasmania and its concurrence with shellfish fisheries. *Conservation Biology* 18:1579-1588. [A description of over-harvesting of a formerly common mollusc species.]

Formas, J. R. (1995). *Amphibians*. Pages 314-325 in J. A. Simonetti, M. T. K. Arroyo, A. E. Spotorno, and E. Lozada, editors. *Diversidad Biologica de Chile*. [A discussion of Chilean amphibians.]

Gibbs, J. P. (2000). Wetland loss and biodiversity conservation. *Conservation Biology* 14:314-317. [This study demonstrates the importance of conserving wetland areas such as swamps.]

Gillespie, G. R. (2001). The role of introduced trout in the decline of the spotted tree frog (*Litoria spenceri*) in south-eastern Australia. *Biological Conservation* 100:187-198. [This study demonstrates negative effects of introduced fishes on Australian amphibians.]

Gillespie, G. R., and G. J. Hollis. (1996). Distribution and habitat of the spotted tree frog, *Litoria spenceri* Dubois (Anura: Hylidae), and an assessment of potential causes of population declines. *Wildlife Research* 23:49-75. [An examination of potential causes of population declines in an Australian amphibian species]

Gillespie, G., and H. Hines. (1999). Status of temperate riverine frogs in south-eastern Australia. Pages 109-130 in A. Campbell, editor. *Declines and Disappearances of Australian Frogs*. Environment Australia. [An update on the status of amphibian species in Victoria, Australia]

Green, D. E., and C. Kagarise Sherman. (2001). Diagnostic histological findings in Yosemite Toads (*Bufo canorus*) from a die-off in the 1970s. *Journal of Herpetology* 35:92-103. [The authors do comprehensive postmortems on dead frogs found in the high Sierra of California.]

Green, D. M. (1997). Perspectives on amphibian population declines: defining the problem and searching for answers. in D. M. Green, editor. *Amphibians in decline*. Canadian studies of a global problem. Society for the Study of Amphibians and Reptiles, Missouri, USA. [A discussion on possible causes of amphibian population decline]

Green, D. M. (2003). The ecology of extinction: population fluctuation and decline in amphibians. *Biological Conservation* 111:331-343. [A discussion on why certain amphibian populations decline while others do not]

Greenlees, M. J., G. P. Brown, J. K. Webb, B. L. Phillips, and R. Shine. (2006). Effects of an invasive anuran [the cane toad (*Bufo marinus*)] on the invertebrate fauna of a tropical Australian floodplain. *Animal Conservation* 9:431-438. [This is a case study on the effects on an invasive toad on Australian fauna]

Hanselmann, R., A. Rodrigues, M. Lampo, L. Fajardo-Ramos, A. A. Aguirre, A. M. Kilpatrick, J. P. Rodriguez, and P. Daszak. (2004). Presence of an emerging pathogen in introduced bullfrogs *Rana catesbeiana* in Venezuela. *Biological Conservation* 120:115-119. [The authors provide a detailed account of chytrid infection in an invasive amphibian species in Venezuela]

Hawkins, C. E., C. Baars, H. Hesterman, G. J. Hocking, M. E. Jones, and B. Lazenby. (2006). Emerging disease and population decline of an island endemic, the Tasmanian devil *Sarcophilus harrisii*. *Biological Conservation* 131:307-324. [The authors provide results of a long-term study of disease in an Australian mammal]

Hayes, M. P., and M. R. Jennings. (1986). Decline of ranid frog species in western North America. Are bullfrogs (*Rana catesbeiana*) responsible? *Journal of Herpetology* 20:490-509. [The authors examine evidence that invasive frog species contributed to the decline of a native frog species in western North America.]

Hayes, T., A. Collins, M. Lee, M. Mendoza, N. Noriega, A. A. Stuart, and A. Vonk. (2002). Hermaphroditic, demasculinized frogs after exposure to the herbicide atrazine at low ecologically relevant doses. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science* 99:5476-5480. [This paper details the negative effects of pesticides on amphibians.]

Hayes, T. B., A. A. Stuart, M. Mendoza, A. Collins, N. Noriega, and A. Vonk, et al. (2006).

Characterization of atrazine-induced gonadal malformations in African clawed frogs (*Xenopus laevis*) and comparisons with effects of an androgen antagonist (cyproterone acetate) and exogenous estrogen (17 α -estradiol): support for the demasculinization/feminization hypothesis. *Environment Health Perspectives* 114 Supplement 1:134-141. [Pesticides as potential causes of population declines]

Hazell, D., W. Osborne, and D. Lindenmayer. (2003). Impact of post-European stream change on frog habitat: southeastern Australia. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 12:301-320. [An examination of the impact of human-induced stream change on Australian frogs.]

Hecnar, S. J., and R. T. McCloskey. (1997a). Changes in the composition of a ranid frog community following Bullfrog extinction. *American Midland Naturalist* 137:145-150. [An examination of the effects of introduced bullfrogs on native frog species.]

Hecnar, S. J., and R. T. McCloskey. (1997b). The effects of predatory fish on amphibian species richness and distribution. *Biological Conservation* 79:123-131. [This study demonstrates negative effects of introduced fishes on amphibians.]

Hero, J.-M., and L. P. Shoo. (2003). Conservation of amphibians in the Old World tropics: defining unique problems associated with regional fauna. Pages 70-84 in R. D. Semlitsch, editor. *Amphibian Conservation*. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, D.C. [This paper provides a discussion on ways to stem amphibian extinctions]

Hero, J.-M., and C. Morrison. (2004). Frog declines in Australia: global implications. *The Herpetological Journal* 14:175-186. [An analysis of amphibian declines in Australia, and their similarities to declines worldwide]

Hero, J.-M., S. E. Williams, and W. E. Magnusson. (2005). Ecological traits of declining amphibians in upland areas of eastern Australia. *Journal of Zoology*, London 267:221-232. [this paper describes factors associated with declining status in amphibians in eastern Australia.]

Hero, J.-M., C. Morrison, G. R. Gillespie, J. D. Roberts, D. Newell, E. Meyer, K. R. McDonald, F. Lemckert, M. J. Mahony, W. Osborne, H. B. Hines, S. J. Richards, C. Hoskin, J. M. Clarke, N. Doak, and L. Shoo. (2006). Overview of the conservation status of Australian frogs. *Pacific Conservation Biology* 12:313-320. [A summary of the conservation status of Australian amphibians]

Heyer, W. R., A. S. Rand, C. A. Goncalvez da Cruz, and O. L. Peixoto. (1988). Decimations, extinctions, and colonizations of frog populations in southeast Brazil and their evolutionary implications. *Biotropica* 20:230-235. [This paper is one of the first that describes frog population declines.]

Hines, H., M. J. Mahony, and K. R. McDonald. (1999). An assessment of frog declines in wet subtropical Australia. Pages 44-63 in A. Campbell, editor. *Declines and Disappearances of Australian Frogs*. *Environment Australia*, Canberra. [An update on the population status of amphibian species in subtropical eastern Australia]

Hoffmann, A. A., R. J. Hallas, J. A. D. and, and M. Schiffer. (2003). Low potential for climatic stress adaptation in a rainforest *Drosophila* species. *Science* 301:100-102. [This paper discusses climate change effects on flies.]

Hoskin, C. J. (2004). Australian microhylid frogs (*Cophixalus* and *Austrochaperina*): phylogeny, taxonomy, calls, distributions and breeding biology. *Australian Journal of Zoology* 52:237-269. [This study describes new Australian microhylid species.]

Houlahan, J. E., C. S. Findlay, B. R. Schmidt, A. H. Meyer, and S. L. Kuzmin. (2000). Quantitative evidence for global amphibian population declines. *Nature* 404:752-755. [This study provides mathematical proof that amphibian declines are occurring more rapidly than would be expected by chance.]

Jansen, A., and M. Healey. (2003). Frog communities and wetland condition: relationships with grazing by domestic livestock along an Australian floodplain river. *Biological Conservation* 109:207-219. [The effects of livestock on Australian riverine species.]

Johnson, P. E. J., K. B. Lunde, E. G. Ritchie, and A. E. Launer. (1999). The effect of trematode infection on amphibian limb development and survivorship. *Science* 284:802-804. [A discussion on amphibian limb deformities]

Johnson, M., L. Berger, L. Phillips, and R. Speare. (2003). Fungicidal effects of chemical disinfectants,

UV light, desiccation and heat on the amphibian chytrid, *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms* 57:255-260. [This paper describes thermal limits of the amphibian chytrid fungus]

Kagarise Sherman, C., and M. L. Morton. (1993). Population declines of Yosemite toads in the eastern Sierra Nevada of California. *Journal of Herpetology* 27:186-198. [A description of population declines in Yosemite toads.]

Kats, L. B., and R. P. Ferrer. (2003). Alien predators and amphibian declines: review of two decades of science and the transition to conservation. *Diversity and Distributions* 9:99-110. [A review of the effect of invasive species on amphibians.]

Kiesecker, J. M., A. R. Blaustein, and L. K. Belden. (2001). Complex causes of amphibian declines. *Nature* 410:681-683. [This study examines the synergistic effects of pathogens and climate change.]

Kriger, K. M., and J.-M. Hero. (2007a). The chytrid fungus *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* is non-randomly distributed across amphibian breeding habitats. *Diversity and Distributions*, Volume 13, 781–788. [This study describes the chytrid fungus' affinity to infect amphibian species that breed in permanent flowing water]

Kriger, K. M., and J.-M. Hero. (2007b). Large-scale seasonal variation in the prevalence and severity of chytridiomycosis. *Journal of Zoology* 271:352-359. [A description of seasonality in chytrid infection levels in Australian amphibians.]

Kriger, K. M., F. Pereoglou, and J.-M. Hero. (2007). Latitudinal variation in the prevalence and intensity of chytrid (*Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*) infection in eastern Australia. *Conservation Biology* 21(5):1280-1290. [A detailed account of the biogeography of the chytrid fungus in eastern Australian amphibians.]

La Marca, E., K. R. Lips, S. Lotters, R. Puschendorf, R. Ibanez, J. V. Rueda-Almonacid, R. Schulte, C. Marty, F. Castro, J. Manzanilla-Puppo, J. E. Garcia-Perez, F. Bolanos, G. Chaves, J. A. Pounds, E. Toral, and B. E. Young. (2005). Catastrophic population declines and extinctions in neotropical Harlequin frogs (*Bufo* spp.: *Atelopus*). *Biotropica* 37:190-201. [This paper examines the catastrophic decline of *Atelopus* species in Latin America.]

Lau, W. N., M. G. Ades, N. Goodyer, and F. S. Zou. (1999). Wildlife trade in southern China including Hong Kong and Macao. China Environmental Science Press. Beijing. [An examination of over-harvesting in Asian wildlife.]

Laurance, W. F. (1996). Catastrophic declines of Australian rainforest frogs: Is unusual weather responsible? *Biological Conservation* 77:203-212. [This paper concludes that climate change was not responsible for the extinction of Australian frog species in recent decades.]

Laurance, W. F. (1998). A crisis in the making: responses of Amazonian forests to land use and climate change. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 13:411-415. [A detailed account of the effects of logging in the Amazon.]

Laurance, W. F. (2001). Tropical logging and human invasions. *Conservation Biology* 15:4-5. [A detailed account of the effects of logging in the Amazon.]

Licht, L. E. (2003). Shedding light on ultraviolet radiation and amphibian embryos. *BioScience* 53:551-561. [This paper concludes that increased UV-B is unlikely to affect many amphibian species.]

Lips, K. R. (1998). Decline of a tropical montane amphibian fauna. *Conservation Biology* 12:106-117. [A description of frog declines in Latin America]

Lips, K. R. (1999). Mass mortality and population declines of anurans at an upland site in western Panama. *Conservation Biology* 13:117-125. [A description of frog declines in Latin America]

Lips, K. R., D. E. Green, and R. Papendick. (2003a). Chytridiomycosis in wild frogs from southern Costa Rica. *Journal of Herpetology* 37:215-218. [This paper details autopsies of frogs found dead in a Costa Rican rainforest]

Lips, K. R., J. D. Reeve, and L. R. Witters. (2003b). Ecological traits predicting amphibian population declines in Central America. *Conservation Biology* 17:1078-1088. [This paper examines traits of declining amphibians in Central America.]

Lips, K. R., F. Brem, R. Brenes, J. D. Reeve, R. A. Alford, J. Voyles, C. Carey, L. Livo, A. P. Pessier, and J. P. Collins. (2006). Emerging infectious disease and the loss of biodiversity in a neotropical amphibian community. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science* 103:3165-3170. [A description of chytrid induced frog declines in Latin America]

Liss, W. J., and G. L. Larson. (1991). Ecological effects of stocked trout on North Cascades naturally fishless lakes. *Park Science* 11:22-23. [This paper examines effects of introduced fishes on native wildlife.]

Longcore, J. E., A. P. Pessier, and D. K. Nichols. (1999). *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* gen. et sp. nov., a chytrid pathogenic to amphibians. *Mycologia* 91:219-227. [This paper describes *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*.]

Lowe, W. H., and D. T. Bolger. (2002). Local and landscape-scale predictors of salamander abundance in New Hampshire headwater streams. *Conservation Biology* 16:183-193. [This article demonstrates that salamanders constitute a large proportion of the forest biomass in certain areas.]

Marsh, D. M., and P. C. Trenham. (2001). Metapopulation dynamics and amphibian conservation. *Conservation Biology* 15:40-49. [This paper states the need for maintaining connectivity between amphibian populations in fragmented regions.]

Mazzoni, R., A. C. Cunningham, P. Daszak, A. Apolo, E. Perdomo, and G. Speranza. (2003). Emerging pathogen of wild amphibians in frogs (*Rana catesbeiana*) farmed for international trade. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* 9:995-998. [The authors give an account of chytrid infections in bullfrogs being reared for the international food trade.]

Middleton, E. M., J. R. Herman, E. A. Celarier, J. W. Wilkinson, C. Carey, and R. J. Ruskin. (2001). Evaluating ultraviolet radiation exposure with satellite data at sites of amphibian declines in Central and South America. *Conservation Biology* 15:914-929. [The authors examine possible effects of UV-B on amphibians]

Mittermeier, R. A., N. Myers, J. G. Thompsen, G. A. B. da Fonesca, and S. Olivieri. (1998). Biodiversity hotspots and major wilderness areas: approaches to setting conservation priorities. *Conservation Biology* 12:516-520. [The authors aim to prioritize areas in need of biodiversity protection]

Morse, S. S. (1993). *Emerging Viruses*. Oxford University Press, New York. [This book has detailed accounts of many viruses that affect wildlife]

Murray, B. R., and G. C. Hose. (2005). Life-history and ecological correlates of decline and extinction in the endemic Australian frog fauna. *Austral Ecology* 30:564-571. [This paper examines traits of declining amphibians in Australia.]

Muths, E., P. S. Corn, A. P. Pessier, and D. E. Green. (2003). Evidence for disease related amphibian decline in Colorado. *Biological Conservation* 110:357-365. [This study examines the effects of chytrid infection on an endangered Colorado frog species.]

Pahkala, M., K. Rasanen, A. Laurila, U. Johanson, L. O. Bjorn, and J. Merila. (2002). Lethal and sub-lethal effects of UV-B/pH synergism on common frogs. *Conservation Biology* 16:1063-1073. [The authors examine possible effects of UV-B on amphibians]

Parker, J. M., I. Mikaelian, N. Hahn, and H. E. Diggs. (2002). Clinical diagnosis and treatment of epidermal chytridiomycosis in African clawed frogs (*Xenopus tropicalis*). *Comparative Medicine* 52:265-268. [The authors cure frogs infected with the chytrid fungus.]

Parris, K. M. (2001). Distribution, habitat requirements and conservation of the cascade treefrog (*Litoria pearsoniana*, Anura: Hylidae). *Biological Conservation* 99:285-292. [A detailed account of factors that can be used to predict the distribution of cascade treefrogs.]

Pechmann, J. H. K., D. E. Scott, R. D. Semlitsch, J. P. Caldwell, L. J. Vitt, and J. W. Gibbons. (1991). Declining amphibian populations: the problem of separating human impacts from natural fluctuations. *Science* 253:892-895. [This studies shows that the size of non-declining amphibian populations can fluctuate drastically.]

Peres, C. A. (2001). Paving the way to the future of Amazonia. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 16:217-219. [A description of habitat destruction in Amazonia]

- Pessier, A. P., D. K. Nichols, J. E. Longcore, and M. S. Fuller. (1999). Cutaneous chytridiomycosis in poison dart frogs (*Dendrobates* spp.) and White's tree frog (*Litoria caerulea*). *Journal of Veterinary Diagnostic Investigation* 11:194-199. [This paper describes a chytrid epidemic in captive frogs.]
- Petranka, J. W., M. E. Eldridge, and K. E. Haley. (1993). Effects of timber harvesting on southern Appalachian salamanders. *Conservation Biology* 7:363-370. [This paper describes the effects of timber harvesting on southern Appalachian salamanders]
- Pimm, S. L., M. Ayres, A. Balmford, G. Branch, K. Brandon, and a. others. (2001). Can we defy nature's end? *Science* 293:2207-2208. [A discussion on extinction.]
- Pimm, S. L., and P. Raven. (2000). Extinction by numbers. *Nature* 403:843-845. [A discussion on extinction.]
- Piotrowski, J. S., S. L. Annis, and J. E. Longcore. (2004). Physiology of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*, a chytrid pathogen of amphibians. *Mycologia* 96:9-15. [This study details the physiological constraints on chytrid growth and development.]
- Pough, F. H., R. M. Andrews, J. E. Cadle, M. L. Crump, A. H. Savitzky, and K. D. Wells. (1998). *Herpetology*. Prentice-Hall, New Jersey. [A general guide to herpetology]
- Pounds, J. A. (2001). Climate and amphibian declines. *Nature* 410:639-640. [A discussion on climate change and its effect on Central American amphibians]
- Pounds, J. A., and M. L. Crump. (1994). Amphibian declines and climate disturbance: The case of the Golden Toad and the Harlequin Frog. *Conservation Biology* 8:72-85. [A detailed account of the disappearance of two amphibian species from a Costa Rican rainforest.]
- Pounds, J. A., M. P. L. Fogden, J. M. Savage, and G. C. Gorman. (1997). Tests of null models for amphibian declines on a tropical mountain. *Conservation Biology* 11:1307-1322. [This paper demonstrates that the wildlife population declines in Monteverde Cloud forest were not natural fluctuations]
- Pounds, J. A., M. P. L. Fogden, and J. H. Campbell. (1999). Biological response to climate change on a tropical mountain. *Nature* 398:611-615. [A discussion on climate change and its effect on Central American wildlife]
- Pounds, J. A., M. R. Bustamante, L. A. Coloma, J. A. Consuegra, M. P. L. Fogden, P. N. Foster, E. La Marca, K. L. Masters, A. Merino-Viteri, R. Puschendorf, S. R. Ron, G. A. Sanchez-Azofeifa, C. J. Still, and B. E. Young. (2006). Widespread amphibian extinctions from epidemic disease driven by global warming. *Nature* 439:161-167. [This paper proposes that climate change is exacerbating the negative effect of the chytrid fungus on Latin American amphibians.]
- Rachowicz, L. J., R. A. Knapp, J. A. T. Morgan, M. J. Stice, V. T. Vredenburg, J. M. Parker, and C. J. Briggs. (2006). Emerging infectious disease as a proximate cause of amphibian mass mortality. *Ecology* 87:1671-1683. [A detailed account of the negative effects of the chytrid fungus on California amphibians.]
- Ranvestel, A. W., K. R. Lips, C. M. Pringle, M. R. Whiles, and R. J. Bixby. (2004). Neotropical tadpoles influence stream benthos: evidence for the ecological consequences of decline in amphibian populations. *Freshwater Biology* 49:274-285. [This study provides evidence of ecological consequences of decline in amphibian populations]
- Reading, C. J. (2007). Linking global warming to amphibian declines through its effects on female body condition and survivorship. *Oecologia* 151:125-131. [This study shows that amphibian survivorship decreases in years with abnormal weather]
- Richards, S. J., K. R. McDonald, and R. A. Alford. (1993). Declines in populations of Australia's endemic tropical rainforest frogs. *Pacific Conservation Biology* 1:66-77. [This study describes the rapid decline of many Australian amphibian species.]
- Ron, S. R., W. E. Duellman, L. A. Coloma, and M. R. Bustamante. (2003). Population decline of the Jambato Toad *Atelopus ignescens* (Anura, Bufonidae) in the Andes of Ecuador. *Journal of Herpetology* 37:117-126. [This study describes the population decline of an Ecuadorian amphibian.]
- Rowe, C. L., W. A. Hopkins, and V. R. Coffman. (2001). Failed recruitment of southern toads (*Bufo*

terrestris) in a trace element-contaminated breeding habitat: direct and indirect effects that may lead to a local population sink. *Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology* 40:399-405. [This study examines the effects of pesticides on amphibian survival]

Sala, O. E., F. Stuart Chaplin III, J. J. Armestro, E. Berlow, J. Bloomfield, and a. others. 2000. Global biodiversity scenarios for the year 2100. *Science* 287:1770-1774. [This paper predicts large losses in biodiversity in the coming century.]

Schiermeier, Q. (2001). Assessment ups the ante on climate change. *Nature* 409:445. [A discussion on climate change and its effect on biodiversity]

Schloegel, L. M., J.-M. Hero, L. Berger, R. Speare, K. R. McDonald, and P. Daszak. (2006). The decline of the sharp-snouted day frog (*Taudactylus acutirostris*): the first documented case of extinction by infection in a free-ranging wildlife species? *EcoHealth* 3:35-40. [The authors provide evidence that the chytrid fungus caused the extinction of an Australian amphibian species.]

Schorger, A. W. (1955). *The passenger pigeon: its natural history and extinction*. University of Wisconsin Press, Madison, WI. [An important example of how over-harvesting can drive wildlife species to extinction.]

Seimon, T. A., A. Seimon, P. Daszak, S. R. P. Halloy, L. M. Schloegel, C. A. Aguilar, P. Sowell, A. D. Hyatt, B. N. Konecky, and J. E. Simmons. (2007). Upward range extension of Andean anurans and chytridiomycosis to extreme elevations in response to tropical deglaciation. *Global Change Biology* 13:288-299. [This paper demonstrates that the chytrid fungus can survive in the high mountains.]

Semlitsch, R. D., and J. R. Brodie. (1998). Are small, isolated wetlands expendable? *Conservation Biology* 12:1129-1133. [A valuable discussion on the importance of conserving isolated wetlands.]

Smith, T. B., S. Kark, C. J. Schneider, R. K. Wayne, and C. Moritz. (2001). Biodiversity hotspots and beyond: the need for preserving environmental transitions. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 16:431. [A discussion on which locations are the most important to protect.]

Snodgrass, J. W., M. J. Komoroski, A. L. Bryan, Jr., and J. Burger. (2000). Relationships among isolated wetland size, hydroperiod, and amphibian species richness: Implications for wetland regulations. *Conservation Biology* 14:414-419. [A valuable discussion on the importance of conserving isolated wetlands.]

Sodhi, N. S., and L. H. Liow. (2000). Improving conservation biology research in Southeast Asia. *Conservation Biology* 14:1211-1212. [This paper discusses the need to improve conservation biology research in Southeast Asia.]

Stuart, S. N., J. S. Chanson, N. A. Cox, B. E. Young, A. S. L. Rodrigues, D. L. Fischman, and R. W. Waller. (2004). Status and trends of amphibian declines and extinctions worldwide. *Science* 306:1783-1786. [An assessment of the population status of the world's amphibian species.]

Taylor, S. K., E. S. Williams, E. T. Thorne, K. W. Mills, D. I. Withers, and A. C. Pier. (1999). Causes of mortality of the Wyoming toad. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* 35:49-57. [This study examines possible causes for the decline of the Wyoming toad.]

Taylor, B. E., D. E. Scott, and J. W. Gibbons. (2006). Catastrophic reproductive failure, terrestrial survival, and persistence of the marbled salamander. *Conservation Biology* 20:792-801. [This study demonstrates the importance of conserving amphibian habitat located far from the breeding area.]

Thomas, C. D., A. Cameron, R. E. Green, M. Bakkenes, L. J. Beaumont, and a. others. (2004). Extinction risk from climate change. *Nature* 427:145-148. [An analysis of the effects of climate change on wildlife in the 21st century.]

Tilman, D., J. Fargione, B. Wolff, C. D'Antonio, A. Dobson, R. Howarth, D. Schindler, W. H. Schlesinger, D. Simberloff, and D. Swackhamer. (2001). Forecasting agriculturally driven global environmental change. *Science* 292:281-284. [A discussion of habitat change and its effect on biodiversity.]

Traynor, J. R. (1998). Epibatidine and pain. *British Journal of Anaesthesia* 81:69-76. [The authors demonstrate the benefits of amphibians in human medicine.]

Van Riper, C., S. G. Van Riper, L. M. Goff, and M. Laird. (1986). The epizootiology and ecological

significance of malaria in Hawaiian land birds. *Ecological Monographs* 56:327-344. [An important study of disease in Hawaiian avifauna]

VanCompernelle, S. E., R. J. Taylor, K. Oswald-Richter, J. Jiang, B. E. Youree, J. H. Bowie, M. J. Tyler, J. M. Conlon, D. Wade, C. Aiken, T. S. Dermody, V. N. KewalRamani, L. A. Rollins-Smith, and D. Unutmaz. (2005). Antimicrobial peptides from amphibian skin potentially inhibit human immunodeficiency virus infection and transfer of virus from dendritic cells to T cells. *Journal of Virology* 79:11598-11606. [The authors demonstrate the benefits of amphibians in human medicine.]

Vitousek, P. M., H. A. Mooney, J. Lubchenco, and J. M. Melillo. (1997). Human domination of Earth's ecosystems. *Science* 277:494-499. [A discussion of habitat change and its effect on biodiversity.]

Vredenburg, V. T. (2004). Reversing introduced species effects: experimental removal of introduced fish leads to rapid recovery of a declining frog. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science, USA* 101:7646-7650. [The authors demonstrate that removing introduced fish can enable amphibian populations to recover to pre-decline levels.]

Waldman, B. (2001). Chytridiomycosis in New Zealand frogs. *Surveillance* 28:9-11. [The authors detect chytrid infections in dead frogs in New Zealand.]

Weldon, C., and L. H. du Preez. (2004). Decline of the Kihansi spray toad, *Nectophrynoides asperginis*, from the Udzungwa mountains, Tanzania. *Froglog* 62:2-3. [The authors detect chytrid infections in dead frogs in Tanzania.]

Weldon, C., L. H. du Preez, R. Muller, A. D. Hyatt, and R. Speare. (2004). Origin of the amphibian chytrid fungus. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* 10:2100-2105. [The authors propose that the amphibian chytrid fungus is endemic to Africa.]

Weygoldt, P. (1989). Changes in the composition of mountain stream frog communities in the Atlantic Mountains of Brazil: frogs as indicators of environmental deteriorations? *Studies on Neotropical Fauna and Environment* 243:249-255. [The authors examine possible causes for the decline of amphibians in Brazil.]

Whiles, M. R., K. R. Lips, C. M. Pringle, S. S. Kilham, R. J. Bixby, R. Brenes, S. Connelly, J. C. Colon-Gaud, M. Hunte-Brown, A. D. Huryn, C. Montgomery, and S. Peterson. (2006). The effects of amphibian population declines on the structure and function of Neotropical stream ecosystems. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* 4:27-34. [The authors demonstrate that the disappearance of amphibians will negatively affect non-amphibian species.]

Whitmore, T. C. (1997). Tropical forest disturbance, disappearance, and species loss. Pages 3-12 in W. F. Laurance and R. O. Bierregaard, editors. *Tropical forest remnants: ecology, management, and conservation of fragmented communities*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, Illinois. [A discussion of habitat destruction and its effect on biodiversity]

Williams, S. E., and J.-M. Hero. (1998). Rainforest frogs of the Australian Wet Tropics: guild classification and the ecological similarity of declining species. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London* 265:597-602. [The authors demonstrate that declining frogs in eastern Australia share similar life-history traits.]

Williams, S. E., E. E. Bolitho, and S. Fox. (2003). Climate change in Australian tropical forests: an impending environmental catastrophe. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B. Biological Sciences*. 270:1887-1892. An analysis of potential effects of climate change on Australian fauna.

Williams, S. E., and D. W. Hilbert. (2006). Climate change threats to the biodiversity of tropical rainforests in Australia. in W. R. Laurance and C. Peres, editors. *Emerging Threats to Tropical Forests*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL. An analysis of potential effects of climate change on Australian fauna.

Woodhams, D. C., R. A. Alford, and G. Marantelli. (2003). Emerging disease of amphibians cured by elevated body temperature. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms* 55:65-67. [The authors demonstrate that subjecting amphibians to high temperatures can cure chytrid infections.]

Wright, K., L. Berger, D. K. Nichols, R. Speare, M. J. Sredl, A. Pessier, and B. Johnson. (2001). Roundtable: amphibian population decline. *Journal of Herpetological Medicine and Surgery* 11:14-27. [A valuable discussion on many aspects of chytridiomycosis.]

Xu, H., S. Qiang, Z. Han, J. Guo, Z. Huang, H. Sun, S. He, H. Ding, W. Wu, and F. Wan. (2006). The status and causes of alien species invasion in China. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 15:2893-2904. [This study demonstrates the negative effects of invasive species on native Chinese fauna]

Yoccoz, N. G., J. D. Nichols, and T. Boulinier. (2001). Monitoring of biological diversity in space and time. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 16:446-453. [A valuable discussion on how to conduct successful wildlife monitoring studies.]

Young, M. D., and N. Gunningham. (1997). Mixing instruments and institutional arrangements for optimal biodiversity conservation. Pages 123-135 in P. Hale and D. Lamb, editors. *Conservation outside nature reserves*. Centre for *Conservation Biology*, University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia. [A discussion on methods to conserve species]

Zug, G. R., and P. B. Zug. (1979). The marine toad, *Bufo marinus*: a natural history resume of native populations. *Smithsonian Contribution to Zoology* 284:1-58. [The authors provide a natural history of the invasive cane toad.]

Biographical Sketches

Associate Professor Jean-Marc Hero has an outstanding national and international reputation as a leading amphibian research scientist. Over the last 20 years, he has worked on many aspects of amphibian ecology and conservation and more recently on the role of chytrid fungus in global amphibian declines. He is currently the acting Director of the Griffith Centre for Innovative Conservation Strategies, Vice President of the Australian Society of Herpetologists (past president 2001-2003), member of the IUCN Amphibian Specialist Group, and the Australian representative for the World Congress of Herpetology and Society for *Conservation Biology* Australasian Chapter. Dr. Hero has published over 100 scientific works including books, book chapters and refereed journal articles, several of which have received scholarly awards.

Kerry Kriger is the Executive Director of SAVE THE FROGS!, a nonprofit organization dedicated to amphibian conservation. His Ph.D. research focused on the ecology of chytridiomycosis in eastern Australia. He has written 12 refereed journal articles on amphibian diseases, and has presented his research results at conferences worldwide. His main interests are in wildlife conservation and environmental education. Dr. Kriger teaches biology, math, chemistry and music. He now resides in the USA.